# <span id="page-0-0"></span>rayny

# Diastereoselective Fluorocyclopropanation of Chiral Allylic Alcohols Using an  $\alpha$ -Fluoroiodomethylzinc Carbenoid

Chandrasekhar Navuluri and André B. Charette\*

Center in Green Chemistry and Catalysis, Faculty of Arts an[d S](#page-3-0)ciences, Department of Chemistry, Universitéde Montreal, P.O. Box 6128, Station Downtown, Montreal, Quebec Canada, H3C 3J7

# **S** Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-3-0) Chiral fluorocyclopropyl carbinols were synthesized in high diastereoselectivities via a zinc mediated cyclopropanation reaction, using sec-allylic alcohols as simple building blocks. An enantioselective version of this transformation was achieved through in situ formation of chiral allylic zinc sec-alkoxides from the requisite aldehydes using Walsh's protocol.



Our group recently described an efficient enantioselective synthesis of monofluorocyclopropanes from primary allylic alcohols using a chiral dioxaborolane ligand. $^{11}$  This methodology hinges on the formation of the active monofluoromethylene carbenoid 1 (Scheme 1) via a haloge[n](#page-3-0) scrambling of its difluoromethylene precursor, difluoroiodomethane, used in place of the customary diiodofluoromethane, an expensive and difficult to prepare reagent.

In this letter, we report the diastereoselective fluorocyclopropanation of chiral secondary allyl alcohols using a fluorosubstituted zinc carbenoid prepared from difluoroiodomethane.

We began our study by exposing the ethylzinc alkoxide of (±)-cyclohexyl-3-phenylpropenol 2 (Table 1, entry 1) to 2 equiv of the fluorocarbenoid 1 in dichloromethane at −40 °C. Encouragingly, this afforded the desired fluorocyclopropane 3 in 30% yield, with an 8:1 dr. We w[ere](#page-1-0) [pleas](#page-1-0)ed to find that, by simply adding a larger excess of the carbenoid, 8b both the yield and the diastereoselectivity of 3 were improved (Table 1, entries

# Scheme 1. 1,2,3-Substituted Halocyclopropane Synthesis by Diastereoselective Carbenoid Transfer

∩⊦

10 examples

53-66% yield<br>up to 15:1 dr

Previous work

OH

1. Diastereoselective transfer of geminal dizinc carbenoid in the synthesis of

1. Et<sub>2</sub>Zn (0.99 equiv)

`Znl

(5 equiv)

 $CH_2Cl_2 - 4$ <br>15 h  $-40 °C$ 



2. Walsh's diastereoselective transfer of halogen bearing trifluoroethoxyzinc carbenoids in e pot enantioselective synthesis of iodo, bromo s (ref 10b)



3. Enantioselective Simmons-Smith fluorocyclopropanation via halogen scientategy of zinc carbenoids (ref 11) bling



2−5). Ultimately, the use of 5 equiv of the carbenoid proved optimal, giving complete consumption of the starting material and affording 3 in 69% yield and a 15:1 dr. The use of more than 5 equiv of carbenoid led to an unclean reaction and the formation of nonpolar byproducts.

Received: July 21, 2015 Published: August 26, 2015

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Screening of the Fluorocyclopropanation Conditions



<sup>a</sup>Carbenoid prepared by mixing 1 M CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution of EtZnI·Et<sub>2</sub>O with a 1 M CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution of CHF<sub>2</sub>I at −78 °C. <sup>b</sup>Determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR of the crude reaction mixture.  $C$  isolated yields.  $d$  Reaction run in the presence of 15 equiv of PhMe.

In related halocyclopropanation reactions of sec-allylic alcohols, the use of the dihalomethyl zinc trifluoroethoxide is necessary in order to achieve high yields and diastereoselectivities.<sup>10b</sup> In view of this, the high yield and diastereoselectivity observed with carbenoid 1 is particularly noteworthy. Submitting 2 t[o 5 e](#page-3-0)quiv of the carbenoid 1 without preforming the ethylzinc alkoxide yielded 3 in 71% yield but with a reduced 6:1 dr (entry 5). This exemplified the importance of the preformation of the allylic zinc alkoxide on the diastereoselectivity of the fluorocyclopropanation. Furthermore, derivatization of 3 as its 3,5 dinitrobenzoate allowed isolation of a crystalline compound of suitable quality for X-ray crystallography. The obtained X-ray crystal structure confirmed the overall configuration of 3 to have a trans relationship between the fluorine and carbinol substituents (see Supporting Information).<sup>12</sup> Also, the presence of 15 equiv of toluene in this reaction led to a decreased 9:1 dr, suggesti[n](#page-3-0)g  $\pi$  ty[pe interactions betwe](#page-3-0)en toluene and the fluorocarbenoid 1 (vide infra).

With the optimized conditions in hand, the scope of the reaction with a range of sec-allylic alcohols was examined. Gratifyingly, excellent diastereoselectivities (15:1) were obtained when  $R_3$  was sterically demanding (Table 2, entries 1–2). The level of diastereoselection remained high for 2,3-trisubstituted allylic alcohol 4c (entry 3).

A loss in dr to 2:1 was observed when the steric demand of  $\rm R_3$ was reduced (entry 4). The diastereoselectivity was regained when substrate 4e, bearing a larger *n*-butyl chain, was used (entry 5). We next explored the effect of the electronic properties of  $R_1$ on the outcome of the developed reaction. Interestingly, the presence of electron-deficient (entries 6 and 7) and electrondonating aryl groups in the  $R_3$  position (entry 8) did not have any marked influence on the diastereoselectivity (which remained uniform at 7:1) and yield of the reaction. The presence of the bulkier mesityl group (entry 9) was also well tolerated, affording 5i in 65% yield, with a 7:1 dr. In the case of the cis allylic alcohol (entry 10), the developed methodology afforded a diminished diastereoselectivity (1.5:1).

Keeping in view the improved diastereoselectivity with the increase in the equivalents of the fluorocarbenoid and the known precedents of  $\text{Zn}(II)$  interactions with  $\pi$  systems,<sup>13</sup> we propose that Figure 1 likely best depicts the transition state model for this trans diastereoselective fluorocyclopropanation.

Table 2. Scope of the Fluorocyclopropanation Using Optimized Conditions

OH $R_1$ $R_3$				i) Et2Zn (0.99 equiv) ii) EtZnl.Et2O (5 equiv) CHF <sub>2</sub> I (5 equiv)		łз	
	$\dot{R}_2$ 4			$R_1$ CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> ,-40 °C 15h		$\mathbf{R}_{2}$ 5	
	entry	$R_1$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_3$	product	yield <sup>a</sup>	$dr^b$
	$\bf{l}$	${\rm Ph}$	$H_{\rm 2}$	Bn	$\tilde{I}^{\prime}$	62	15:1
	$\overline{c}$	${\rm Ph}$	Η	$t$ -Bu	5a ٢	64	15:1
	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	${\rm Ph}$	M e	Ph	5 <sub>b</sub>	63	15:1
	$\overline{4}$	${\rm Ph}$	H	Me	5c	58	2:1
	5	Ph	Η	Bu	5d $\frac{9}{1}$	62	7:1
	6	4-ClPh	H	Bu	5e 앢 'n, CI.	64	7:1
	$\overline{7}$	$4-$ $CF_3Ph$	Н	Bu	5f f 앤 'n	64	7:1
	8	OMe	Η	Bu	5g	66	7:1
	9	mes	Η	Bu	5h ۹H 1 H	65	7:1
	10	Ph	H	Bu	5i ęн H	53	1.5:1
					5j		

 ${}^a$ Isolated yield of the diastereomerically pure compound.  ${}^b$ Determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR of the crude reaction mixture.



Figure 1. Transition state model for *trans* diastereoselective fluorocyclopropanation.

With the successful diastereoselective formation of fluorocyclopropanes starting from racemic allylic alcohols in

hand, we sought to further explore the possibilities for the development of a diastereoselective cyclopropanation of chiral allylic ethers. In such context, we decided to evaluate the stereochemical outcome of the fluorocyclopropanation of transstyryldioxolane 6 derived from D-glyceraldehyde acetonide. We were delighted to find that the reaction of 6 with 5 equiv of the carbenoid 1 afforded the fluorocyclopropane 7 in 73% yield, in 15:1 dr and 99% ee, with the fluorine substituent being oriented trans to the dioxolane (Scheme 2). In the case of the cis isomer 8,

Scheme 2. Diastereoselective Fluorocyclopropanation Using Styryl Dioxolanes $a,b$ 



 ${}^a\rm{D}$ etermined by  ${}^{19}\rm{F}$  NMR of the crude reaction mixture.  ${}^b\rm{D}$ etermined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the crude reaction mixture.

the reaction proceeded with a 3:1 dr. The major isomer, isolated in 62% yield and 99% ee, was found to be the all-cis fluorocyclopropane 9, the structure of which was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (see Supporting Information).<sup>14</sup> A sequence of acid catalyzed acetonide deprotection, periodate mediated cleavage, and sodiu[m borohydride reduction](#page-3-0) [of](#page-3-0) the resulting aldehyde then allowed access to the all-cis fluorocyclopropyl carbinol 10 in 78% yield over three steps.

Walsh et al. recently reported an excellent methodology for the one-pot synthesis of halocyclopropyl carbinols with high enanatio- and diastereocontrol (Scheme 1, eq 2).<sup>10b</sup> The methodology relies on the enantioselective addition of dialkyl zinc reagents to unsaturated aldehy[des using ch](#page-0-0)iral ami[noal](#page-3-0)cohol MIB.<sup>15</sup> To the formed enantioenriched sec-allylic zinc alkoxide intermediates are then added halomethyl carbenoids furnishing enan[tio](#page-3-0)enriched iodo-, bromo-, and chlorocyclopropanes. In order to circumvent the preparation of enantiopure sec-allylic alcohols and seeking to further build on the success of the diastereoselective transfer of fluorocarbenoid 1, we envisioned achieving the one-pot fluorocyclopropanation protocol under Walsh's protocol.

In our initial attempt, cinnamaldehyde was reacted with diethylzinc under MIB catalysis conditions in toluene at 0 °C to form the ethylzinc adduct. After removal of the volatiles under vacuum, dichloromethane and 5 equiv of the fluorocarbenoid 1 were added. We were pleased to find that the desired fluorocyclopropane 14a (Table 3, entry 1) was isolated in 68% yield as a 8:1 diastereomeric mixture in 90% ee. Since electronic and steric effects on the aromatic ring did not seem to play a role





a Dialkylzinc reagents in entries 1, 2, and 3 were ethereal solutions. b Isolated yield of the diastereomerically pure compound. <sup>C</sup>Determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR of the crude reaction mixture. <sup>d</sup>Determined by SFC on a chiral stationary phase.

in the diastereoselective fluorocyclopropanation, two other dialkylzinc reagents<sup>16</sup> were evaluated within this one-pot fluorocyclopropanation protocol. The reaction using dibutylzinc delivered 14b in 63[% yi](#page-3-0)eld, with a 7:1 dr and in 90% ee (Table 3, entry 2). Some loss in yield in this reaction was attributed to the formation of a minor byproduct, arising from reduction of cinnamaldehyde during the addition reaction of dibutyl zinc.

While the diastereoselectivity with the more hindered dicyclohexyl zinc was high (Table 3, entry 3), the enantioselectivity dropped to 70%. The fluorocyclopropyl carbinols synthesized could be further functionalized to prepare various biologically important fluorocyclopropanes. Stereodefined fluorocyclopropylcarboxylic acid 16 was accessed from 5b by a simple oxidation protocol involving a sequential Dess-Martin oxidation, Baeyer−Villiger reaction, and saponification (Scheme 3). A Curtius rearrangement of 16 in a reaction with diphenyl phosphorylazide gave access to the corresponding amino cyclopropane 17 in 73% yield.

In summary, we have successfully developed a new methodology for the fluorocyclopropanation of a range of racemic sec-





Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4288-4291

<span id="page-3-0"></span>allylic alcohols and ethers in high yields and diastereoselectivities. The use of glyceraldehyde derived allyl ethers allows synthesis of the corresponding fluorocyclopropanes in excellent yields and enantioselectivities. The methodology could also be extended in a one-pot fluorocyclopropanation protocol using in situ generated enantioenriched allylic alkoxides to give access to chiral, nonracemic fluorocyclopropanes.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02097.

Experimental procedures, NMR spectra, and compound characterization data (PDF)

Crystallographic data for 9 (CIF)

Crystallographic data for 18 (CIF)

# ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: andre.charette@umontreal.ca.

### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), the Canada Research Chair Program, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, the FRQNT Centre in Green Chemistry and Catalysis, and Université de Montréal.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Mueller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Science 2007, 317, 1881. (b) Meanwell, N. A. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 2529.

(2) (a) Vuligonda, V.; Lin, Y.; Chandraratna, R. A. S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1996, 6, 213. (b) Martin, S. F.; Austin, R. E.; Oalmann, C. J.; Baker, W. R.; Condon, S. L.; deLara, E.; Rosenberg, S. H.; Spina, K. P.; Stein, H. H.; Cohen, J.; Kleinert, H. D. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 1710. (c) Martin, S. F.; Oalmann, C. J.; Liras, S. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 3521. (d) Reichelt, A.; Martin, S. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 433. (e) Wipf, P.; Xiao, J. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 103. (f) Ivashkin, P.; Lemonnier, G.; Tora, A. S.; Pin, J. P.; Goudet, C.; Jubault, P.; Pannecoucke, X. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2015, 25, 2523. (g) Lemonnier, G.; Lion, C.; Quirion, J.-C.; Pin, J.- P.; Goudet, C.; Jubault, P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2012, 20, 4716.

(3) For reviews, see: (a) David, E.; Milanole, G.; Ivashkin, P.; Couve-Bonnaire, S.; Jubault, P.; Pannecoucke, X. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 14904. (b) Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; Battiste, M. A. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1071. (c) Akiba, T.; Tamura, O.; Hashimoto, M.; Kobayashi, Y.; Katoh, T.; Nakatani, K.; Kamada, M.; Hayakawa, I.; Terashima, S. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 3905.

(4) (a) Shen, X.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, L.; Luo, T.; Wan, X.; Gu, Y.; Hu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 6966. (b) Milanole, G.; Couve-Bonnaire, S.; Bonfanti, J. F.; Jubault, P.; Pannecoucke, X. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 212. (c) Ferrary, T.; David, E.; Milanole, G.; Besset, T.; Jubault, P.; Pannecoucke, X. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5598. (d) Saito, A.; Ito, H.; Taguchi, T. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 7487. (e) Zhang, F.; Song, Z. J.; Tschaen, D.; Volante, R. P. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3775. (f) Ivashkin, P.; Couve-Bonnaire, S.; Jubault, P.; Pannecoucke, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5130.

(5) (a) Pons, A.; Beucher, H.; Ivashkin, P.; Lemonnier, G.; Poisson, T.; Charette, A. B.; Jubault, P.; Pannecoucke, X. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1790. (b) Morikawa, T.; Sasaki, H.; Mori, K.; Shiro, M.; Taguchi, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1992, 40, 3189. (c) Meyer, O. G. J.; Frohlich, R.; Haufe, G. Synthesis 2000, 2000, 1479. (d) Nakazato, A.; Kumagai, T.; Sakagami,

K.; Yoshikawa, R.; Suzuki, Y.; Chaki, S.; Ito, H.; Taguchi, T.; Nakanishi, S.; Okuyama, S. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 4893.

(6) (a) Tamura, O.; Hashimoto, M.; Kobayashi, Y.; Katoh, T.; Nakatani, K.; Kamada, M.; Hayakawa, I.; Akiba, T.; Terashima, S. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 3889. (b) Akiba, T.; Tamura, O.; Hashimoto, M.; Kobayashi, Y.; Katoh, T.; Nakatani, K.; Kamada, M.; Hayakawa, I.; Terashima, S. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 3905.

(7) For reviews, see: (a) Charette, A. B.; Beauchemin, A. Org. React. (N. Y.) 2001, 58, 1. (b) Lebel, H.; Marcoux, J. F.; Molinaro, C.; Charette, A. B. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 977. (c) Roy, M.-N.; Lindsay, V. N. G.; Charette. A. B. In Stereoselective Synthesis: Reactions of Carbon-Carbon Double Bonds (Science of Synthesis Series); de Vries, J. G., Vol. Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 2011; Vol. 1, Chapter 1.14, pp 731−817.

(8) For earlier work on the diastereoselective cyclopropanation of acyclic allylic alcohols and ethers using zinc carbenoids, see: (a) Ratier, M.; Castaing, M.; Godet, J. Y.; Pereyre, M. J. Chem. Res. (M) 1978, 2309. (b) Charette, A. B.; Lebel, H. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2966. (c) Charette, A. B.; Lacasse, M. C. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3351.

(9) (a) Fournier, J. F.; Mathieu, S.; Charette, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13140. (b) Charette, A. B.; Mathieu, S.; Fournier, J. F. Synlett 2005, 1779.

(10) (a) Kim, H. Y.; Lurain, A. E.; Garcia-Garcia, P.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13138. (b) Kim, H. Y.; Salvi, L.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 954. (c) Infante, R.; Nieto, J.; Andres, C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 345.

(11) Beaulieu, L.-P. B.; Schneider, J. F.; Charette, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7819.

(12) In the dinitrobenzoate derivative of 3, as observed in the case of the related iodocyclopropanes, the cis disposed cyclopropane ring hydrogen atom relative to the fluoromethine hydrogen atom exhibits a higher  ${}^{3}J_{\text{FCH-Hcis}}$  proton coupling constant of 6.7 Hz, while the trans disposed hydrogen atom exhibits a lower  ${}^{3\!}J_{\rm FCH\!-\!Heis}$  coupling constant of 2.4 Hz. Also, the fluorine atom displays a strong  ${}^{3}\!J_{\rm F\!H\!cis}$  coupling constant of 20.3 Hz and a smaller  $\mathrm{^{3}J_{F\text{-}Htrans}}$  coupling constant of 6.9 Hz. These  $\mathrm{^{1}H}$ and <sup>19</sup>F coupling constants have been used to assign the stereochemistry of the fluorocyclopropane carbinols synthesized (see Supporting Information). For use of coupling constants in assignment of stereochemistry of halocyclopropanes, see: (a) Miyano, S.; Hashimoto, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1974, 47, 1500. (b) Miyano, S.; Matsumoto, Y.; Hashimoto, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1975, 364. (c) Seyferth, D.; Yamazaki, H.; Alleston, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 703. (d) Miyano, S.; Hashimoto, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1973, 46, 892. (e) Kim, H. Y.; Salvi, L.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 954.

(13) (a) Marek, I.; Beruben, D.; Normant, J.-F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 3695. (b) Beruben, D.; Marek, I.; Normant, J. F.; Platzer, N. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2488. (c) Wooten, A.; Carroll, P. J.; Maestri, A. G.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4624. (d) Guerrero, A.; Martin, E.; Hughes, D. L.; Kaltsoyannis, N.; Bochmann, M. Organometallics 2006, 25, 3311. (e) Walker, D. A.; Woodman, T. J.; Hughes, D. L.; Bochmann, M. Organometallics 2001, 20, 3772. (f) Bukhaltsev, E.; Goldberg, I.; Cohen, R.; Vigalok, A. Organometallics 2007, 26, 4015.

(14) The all cis fluorocyclopropyl dioxolane 9 displays a  $\mathrm{^{3}J_{FCH\text{-}Heis}}$ coupling constant of 6 Hz for the cis disposed cyclopropane ring protons. The *trans* disposed fluorine atom displays a  ${}^{3}J_{F-Htrans}$  coupling constant of 7.4 Hz (see Supporting Information).

(15) Nugent, W. A. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1369.

(16) Côté, A.; Charette, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2771.